

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

ELECTRONIC

09/17/2008

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,700	06/23/2003	Yu-Chen Chuang	10496-US-PA	5739	
31561 7550 094172008 JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			RAMPURIA, SATISH		
ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TAIWAN			2191		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW Belinda@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/600,700 CHUANG ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit SATISH S. RAMPURIA 2191 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Art Unit: 2191

### Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on 11/26/2007.

Claims amended by the applicants: 1.

3. Claims 1-25 are pending.

# Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 06/03/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

(A) In response to claims 1-9 and 24 rejected under 112 second paragraph, applicants have amended the claims to clarify, however it is still unclear that in step (d) how unselecting limitation is determined, since step (b) is only selecting... plurality of user terminals, i.e., not unselecting plurality of user terminals. Thus, it is unclear that how one would determine unselected user terminals, since the step of unselecting user terminals never occurred.

(B) In response to applicant's arguments that Vincent does not teach step (d):
determining which of said plurality of user terminals unselected in step (b) include any of said
absent updated software versions from the list, as recited in claim 1. Examiner respectfully
disagrees. Vincent discloses automatically updating software components on a computer. The
process of updating software components begins as a user computer accesses a data file that
includes content data that is to be processed by the user computer. The data file includes
component information that identifies software components that are recommended or required in
order to process the content data and further includes version information identifying versions of

Art Unit: 2191

the software components that are recommended or required (see summary). Applicants have not considered the whole citation for the limitations above. Examiner has explicitly shown each limitation and where it is taught by Vincent, see below.

(d) determining from the list which of said plurality of user terminals unselected (paragraph [0051]) "The components that need to be updated may be decented into econoputer, typically a server, on the computer network, or they may be focused at various and different computers (a) computer a terminal or they may be focused at various and different computers (a) computers are not selected)" and paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines (i.e., from the component list) which software components are versions thereof are to be obtained") in six p(b) pinched not possible about paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained (i.e., absent updates software versions), the user computer primaries which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained (i.e., absent updates software versions), the user computer primaries evolved to extend from a download the required software components."

Further, Vincent discloses the requested update table is automatically sent to a computer on the computer network (paragraph [0051]) and only downloads those component (i.e., absent) that are required to process the content data. Thus, Vincent discloses a system for downloading the absent software updates.

(C) In response to applicants arguments with respect to limitations that Vincent fails to teach "a sub-network including a first user terminal and a second user terminal, each user terminal including a first client-server structure and a second client-server structure". Examiner respectfully disagrees. Vincent clearly teaches the above limitations in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 which includes a subnetwork of computers 114 a-c and are connected in network environment e.g., LAN or WAN where network environment comprises another computer network or a network PC or a Peer device or other common network node. Such networking environment is commonplace in office-wide or enterprise wide computer networks, intranets and Internet (paragraph [0040]). Thus, Vincent discloses a system which discloses the above limitations as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,700

Art Unit: 2191

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites that step (d) determining... said plurality of user terminals unselected in step (b). However, step (b) recites that *selecting* one of said plurality of user terminals, and step (d) is referring to plurality of user terminals *unselected*.

Further, step (d) recites determining from the list which of said plurality user terminals unselected in step (b). However, step (b) does not recite any list generated with unselected user terminals. Since step (c) recites that a list is requested from the system server for updated software versions, it not clear that the list is for updated software version or for the unselected user terminals as recited in step (d). For examination purposes Examiner has interpreted as a list is for updated software versions.

Claims 2-9 and 24 are directly or indirectly dependent on claim 1, therefore suffer the same deficiency as claim 1.

Clarification and/or correction are required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2191

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless — (c) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application

designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

 Claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-17, 19, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Publication No. 2004/0015953 to Vincent (hereinafter, Vincent).

#### Per claim 1:

Vincent discloses:

- A method of software upgrade control for a system server and a sub-network including a plurality of user terminals, the method comprising the steps of:
- (a) providing said system server with updated software versions (FIG. 6, elements 160-162, and 164 and paragraph [0055] "server computers 160, 162 and 164 are used to provide updated software components");
- (b) selecting one of said plurality of user terminals (FIG. 6, element user computer 110);
- (c) requesting from said system server a list of said updated software versions (paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data file and accesses the required component list included... comparing the component information and the version information with information identifying the software components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer") that are absent in said selected one of said plurality of user terminals (paragraph [0014] "The computer requests the software components not already installed on the computer from the identified network location or locations");

Application/Control Number: 10/600,700

Art Unit: 2191

(d) determining from the list which of said plurality of user terminals

unselected (paragraph [0051] "The components that need to be updated may be located at one computer, typically a server, on the computer network, or they may be located at various and different computers (i.e., computers are not selected)" and paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines (i.e., from the component list) which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained") in step (b) include any of said absent updated software versions (paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained (i.e., absent updated software versions), the user computer performs acts to determine network locations from which it can download the required software components");

- (e) receiving from said unselected ones of said plurality of user terminals said absent updated software versions determined in step (d) to have been included in said unselected ones of said plurality of user terminals (paragraph [0064] "User computer 110 examines the update table received from software server 160 and... extracts the locations of the needed components, which include components D and E (i.e., the required components not yet installed at user computer 110) and component B (i.e., the component having a required version not yet installed at user computer 110)");
- (f) receiving from said server those of said absent updated software versions determined (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164") in step (d) to have not been included in said unselected ones of said plurality of user terminals (paragraph [0051] "The components that need to be updated may be located at one computer, typically a server, on the computer network, or they may be located at various and different computers (i.e., computers are not selected)"); and

Art Unit: 2191

(g) upgrading said selected one of said user terminals with said received

absent updated software versions (paragraph [0065] "The user computer 110 installs and configures

the required software components when the required software components are received").

Per claim 2:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

2. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of broadcasting an inquiry in said sub-

network (paragraph [0063] "the network location of the update table may be found in other ways, such

as through broadcasting or advertising across computer network (i.e., sub-network)") in determining

whether said unselected user terminals include any of said absent updated software versions

(paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines which software components and versions

thereof are to be obtained").

Per claim 3:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

3. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of registering at said system server after

requesting from said system server a list of said updated software versions that are absent in said

selected user terminal (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e.,

absent) of software component D from the computer 164").

Although, Vincent discloses updating software on a user computer from network

locations or network computers. Vincent is silent on registering updated software.

Art Unit: 2191

However, the feature of registering the updated software deemed to be inherent to Vincent system. Since Vincent discloses downloading the requested software updates by the user computer from network locations (paragraph [0063]). Vincent's system would be in inoperative if the system does not register the updated software.

### Per claim 4:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

4. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of providing an agent in said selected user terminal in requesting said list of said absent updated software versions (paragraph [0014] "The computer requests the software components not already installed on the computer from the identified network location or locations") Note that an agent which requests the absent software is inherent to Vincent, since Vincent's system getting the component not installed on user computer form the network locations.

#### Per claim 5:

The rejection of claim 7 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

5. The method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of: receiving an inquiry broadcast by said unselected user terminals (paragraph [0063] "the network location of the update table may be found in other ways, such as through broadcasting or advertising across computer network (Note that the inquiry is made to unselected terminal to determine the available components see paragraph [0051]"); and transmitting one of said updated software versions in response to said inquiry broadcast (paragraph [0064] "...components A, B, C and E are available from software server 160... component D is available from another computer associated with computer network 116, namely, computer 164. User

Art Unit: 2191

computer 110 examines the update table received from software server 160 and extracts the locations of the needed components").

### Per claim 7:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

7. The method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of: providing said selected user terminal with a first client-server structure (FIG. 6, element user computer 110) and a second client-server structure (FIG. 6, elements 160, 162, 164); and providing a client in said first client-server structure for requesting said list of said absent updated software versions (paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data file and accesses the required component list included... comparing the component information and the version information with information identifying the software components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer").

#### Per claim 8:

The rejection of claim 7 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

8. The method of claim 7 further comprising the steps of: providing said first client-server structure (FIG. 6, element user computer 110) with a first server for receiving an inquiry broadcast by said unselected user terminals (paragraph [0063] "the network location of the update table may be found in other ways, such as through broadcasting or advertising across computer network"); and providing said second client-server structure (FIG. 6, elements 160, 162, 164) with a second server for transmitting one of said updated software versions to one of said unselected user terminals in response to said inquiry broadcast (paragraph [0064] "The user

Application/Control Number: 10/600,700

Art Unit: 2191

computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer

164" i.e., the required versions are transmitted to user terminals).

Per claim 9:

The rejection of claim 7 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

9. The method of claim 7 further comprising the steps of: providing said first client-server

structure (FIG. 6, element user computer 110) with a first client for requesting one of said absent

updated software versions from said unselected user terminals (paragraph [0063] "Once user

computer 110 determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained (i.e., absent

updated software versions)... download the required software components"); and providing said

second client-server structure (FIG. 6, elements 160, 162, 164) with a second client for

requesting one of said absent updated software versions from said system server (paragraph

 $[0064]\ \text{``The user computer }110\ \text{then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components}$ 

B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from

the computer 164").

Per claim 10:

Vincent discloses:

10. A software upgrade control system comprising:

Art Unit: 2191

a system server (FIG. 6, element 160, 162, 164);

a plurality of updated software versions provided at said system server (FIG. 6, elements 160-162, and 164 and paragraph [0055] "server computers 160, 162 and 164 are used to provide updated software components"):

a sub-network (FIG. 2) including a first user terminal (FIG. 2, element 110) and a second user terminal (FIG.2, element 114), each user terminal including a first client-server structure and a second client-server structure (FIG. 3, element 110a and 110b);

a client provided in said first client-server structure of said first user terminal for requesting from said system server a list of said updated software versions that are absent in said first user terminal (paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data file and accesses the required component list included... comparing the component information and the version information with information identifying the software components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer") and also for broadcasting an inquiry to the second user terminal (paragraph [0063] "the network location of the update table may be found in other ways, such as through broadcasting or advertising across computer network") to determine whether the second user terminal has at least one of said updated software versions that are absent in said first user terminal (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164" i.e., the required versions are transmitted to user terminals); a first server provided in said first client-server structure of said second user terminal for receiving the inquiry broadcast by the first user terminal (paragraph 100631 "Once user computer

Application/Control Number: 10/600,700

Art Unit: 2191

110 determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained (i.e., absent updated software versions)... download the required software components");

a second server provided in said second client-server structure of said second user terminal for transmitting one of said updated software versions to said first user terminal in response to said inquiry broadcast (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164" i.e., the required versions are transmitted to user terminals);

a first client provided in said second client-server structure of said first user terminal for receiving one of said absent updated software versions from said second user terminal (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164"); and

a second client provided in said second client-server structure of said first user terminal for receiving one of said absent updated software versions from said system server (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164").

### Per claim 11:

Art Unit: 2191

11. The system of claim 10 further comprising an agent provided in said selected user terminal in

requesting said list of said absent updated software versions. The limitations in the claims are

similar to those in claim 4, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with

the rejection of claim 4.

Per claim 13:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

13. The system of claim 10 wherein said system server supports a file transfer protocol

(paragraph [0045] "a file from a File Transfer Protocol ("FTP") server, a shared file on another user's

computer, a file from another process running on the same computer").

Per claim 14:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

14. The system of claim 10 wherein said system server supports a file transfer assistant protocol

(paragraph [0045] "a file from a File Transfer Protocol ("FTP") server, a shared file on another user's

computer, a file from another process running on the same computer").

Per claim 15:

15. The system of claim 10 further comprising an agent in each of said user terminals for data

communication between said first client-server structure and said second client-server structure.

The limitations in the claims are similar to those in claim 4, and rejected under the same

rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 4.

Art Unit: 2191

Per claim 16:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

16. The system of claim 10 further comprising a local area network over which said system

server communicates with each of said user terminals (paragraph [0040] "FIG. 1 include a local

area network (LAN) 51 and a wide area network (WAN)").

Per claim 17:

Vincent discloses:

17. A software upgrade control system, comprising:

a system server that provides updated software versions (FIG. 6, element 160, 162, 164);

a plurality of user terminals grouped to form a sub-network (FIG. 2);

a first client-server structure formed in each of said user terminals to request from said system

server a list of updated software versions that are absent (paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data file and accesses the required component list included... comparing

receives data mem opens the data me and accesses the required component his monated in companie

the component information and the version information with information identifying the software

components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer"), broadcast in said sub-network an inquiry (paragraph [0063] "the network location of the update table may be found in other ways.

such as through broadcasting or advertising across computer network") as to whether any other user

terminals have any updated software versions absent therein, and receive an inquiry broadcast

by said other user terminals (paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data

file and accesses the required component list included... comparing the component information and the

Application/Control Number: 10/600,700

Art Unit: 2191

version information with information identifying the software components and versions thereof currently

installed at user computer");

a second client-server structure formed in each of said user terminals to transmit one of said

updated software versions to one of said other user terminals (paragraph [0064] "The user

computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from

software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer

164" i.e., the required versions are transmitted to user terminals), receive an absent updated

software version from one of said other user terminals having said absent updated software

version, and receive an absent updated software version from said system server if no other user

terminals has said absent updated software version (paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110

determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained (i.e., absent updated

software versions)... download the required software components"); and

an agent formed in each of said user terminals for data communication between said first and

second client-server structures (Vincent discloses updating software in networking environment,

a communication agent must be present in Vincent system to perform the software update

remotely. Therefore, a communication agent would be inherent to Vincent system).

Claims 19 and 21 are the system claim corresponding to system claims 13 and rejected

under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 13, above, as

noted above.

Per claim 22:

Art Unit: 2191

The rejection of claim 17 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

a first storage for storing said updated software versions (FIG. 6, element 160, 162, 164).

Per claim 23:

The rejection of claim 22 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

a second storage for storing said updated software versions that are unzipped (paragraph [0061]

"comparing the component information and the version information with information identifying the

software components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer"). Note that Vincent

compares the installed versions with the updated version in order to upgrade the software. In

order for to compare the installed software versions the upgrade version must be unzipped.

Per claim 24:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

24. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein said absent updated software versions determined in

step(d) to have not been included in said unselected user terminals are received only after

receiving from said unselected user terminals those of said absent updated software versions

determined in step (d) to have been included in said unselected user terminals (paragraph [0051]

"The components that need to be undated may be located at one computer, typically a server, on the

computer network, or they may be located at various and different computers (i.e., computers are not

selected)").

Art Unit: 2191

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art

section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be

negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 6, 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vincent in

view of US Publication No. 2003/0074403 to Harrow et al. (hereinafter, Harrow).

Per claim 6:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further. Vincent does not explicitly disclose wherein

said selected user terminal is upgraded within a predetermined time interval.

However, Harrow discloses in an analogous computer system wherein said selected user

terminal is upgraded within a predetermined time interval (paragraph [0036] "The directory server

402 may also have an expiration timeout feature. That is, after say 10 minutes, the directory server 402

may direct a client to retrieve a copy of the file from the web server 422").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to incorporate the method of wherein said selected user terminal is

upgraded within a predetermined time interval as taught by Harrow into the method of updating

software to user terminals as taught by Vincent. The modification would be obvious because of

one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to selected user terminal is upgraded within a

predetermined time interval to provide the current updates to client as suggested by Harrow

Application/Control Number: 10/600,700

Art Unit: 2191

(paragraph [0036]).

Per claim 25:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent does not explicitly disclose,

wherein said second user terminal transmits said updated software versions to said first user

terminal using a P2P protocol.

However, Harrow discloses in an analogous computer system wherein said second user

terminal transmits said updated software versions to said first user terminal using a P2P protocol

(paragraph [0026] "The communication 314 between Client A 304-A and Client D 304-D is a

peer-to-peer communication").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to incorporate the method of wherein said second user terminal transmits

said updated software versions to said first user terminal using a P2P protocol as taught by

Harrow into the method of updating software to user terminals as taught by Vincent. The

modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to

use P2P protocol in upgrading software version of user terminal to provide a peer-to-peer

software upgrading to lower the network traffic as suggested by Harrow (paragraph [0004]).

10. Claims 12, 18, 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Vincent in view of US Patent No. 7,228,539 to Zhang et al. (hereinafter, Zhang).

Per claim 12:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent does not explicitly disclose system server supports an interversion protocol.

However, Zhang discloses in a computer system server support an interversion protocol (col. 5, lines 1-3 "the conversion rules relate to differences in the format of the messages, or the <u>interserver communication protocol</u>, provided for in each version of the software").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of supporting an interversion protocol as taught by Zhang. The modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to support an interversion protocol to provide to reduce the downtime during the update procedure as suggested by Zhang (col. 2, lines 28-37).

Claims 18 and 20 are the system claim corresponding to system claims 12 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 12, above, as noted above.

#### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2191

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satish S. Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-3732. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday to Friday. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Y. Zhen can be reached on (571) 272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Satish S. Rampuria Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191 Art Unit: 2191

/Wei Y Zhen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191